UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/556,143	04/21/2000	Ronald A. Schachar	PRES06-00163	6710
23990 DOCKET CLE	7590 06/29/200 CRK	EXAMINER		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380			SHAY, DAVID M	
DALLAS, IX	/5380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3735	
			<u> </u>	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Surrenant		09/556,143	SCHACHAR			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		david shay	3735			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	12. 2007.				
		action is non-final.				
·=	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛛 C	Claim(s) <u>40-59</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	n Papers					
9)∐ TI	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
A	applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143

Art Unit: 3735

ippireuroiz control (vanioci, 697550,1

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 12, 2007 has been entered.

Applicant argues that March et al forms a channel completely through the sclera, and that it would not be obvious to modify March et al to from a channel only partially through the sclera. The examiner notes that the treatment of March after, for example the first 10 shots (i.e. prior to the endpoint of the treatment) reads on the instant claims. Further, it is noted that March et al, at page 586, column 2, the first sentence, discusses prior art procedures which do not create "a complete scleral perforation" when treating glaucoma. These procedures read on the instant claims.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It is a copy of the oath submitted in the parent case, when the instant application is not a continuation of the parent case.

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

This application presents a claim for subject matter not originally claimed or embraced in the statement of the invention: the use of a laser. A supplemental oath or declaration is required under 37 CFR 1.67. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143

Art Unit: 3735

it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 40-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by March et al.

March et al teach removing scleral tissue by irradiating it with a laser to treat glaucoma, and that prior art laser procedures to treat glaucoma did not create "a complete scleral perforation". Stedman's Medical Dictionary defines ablate as "to remove"; abrade as "to wear away by mechanical action"; and incise as "to cut with a knife" since the laser produces no mechanical action per se and is not a knife per se, abrade will be construed to indicate wearing away by action of the light and incise will be construed to indicate cutting by action of the light. Since the laser burns through the sclera at the treatment site, there must be some tissue interposed the margin of the treatment site and the boundary beyond which the scleral tissue is not affected by the treatment wherein the collagen fibers are partially decomposed.

Applicant's arguments filed April 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-59 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143

Art Unit: 3735

37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 09/556,143 Page 5

Art Unit: 3735

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330